

JOURNAL OF DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS 7, 407-416 (1970)

## Positive Cyclic Systems of Linear Differential Equations

GARRETT BIRKHOFF

*Department of Mathematics, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138*

AND

LEON KOTIN

*Institute for Exploratory Research, U. S. Army Electronics Command,  
Fort Monmouth, New Jersey 07703*

Received November 15, 1968

## 1. INTRODUCTION

By a *positive cyclic system* of linear differential equations, we mean [1] a system of the form

$$dx_i/dt = p_i(t) x_{i+1}, \quad p_i(t) > 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n \quad (1)$$

(all subscripts taken modulo  $n$  here and throughout the paper). We shall assume throughout that the  $p_i(t)$  are piecewise continuous. The system (1) will be called *uniformly positive* (u. p.) when

$$0 < m \leq p_i(t) \leq M = Nm < +\infty \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (1')$$

A study of third-order, uniformly positive cyclic systems of linear differential equations was made in [1], with special reference to oscillation and order-of-growth theorems. In the present paper, we show that many of the conclusions hold, in modified form, for arbitrary  $n$ —but that the cases of even  $n$  and odd  $n$  are essentially different, and the case  $n = 4$  has quite special properties.

For any  $n$ , the coordinate planes  $x_i = 0$  separate  $\mathbf{x}$ -space into  $2^n$  open *orthants*, each of which has a well-defined *signature* ( $\text{sgn } x_1, \dots, \text{sgn } x_n$ ). Thus, the positive orthant  $\mathcal{P}$  has the signature  $(+, \dots, +)$ , the negative orthant  $-\mathcal{P}$  has the signature  $(-, \dots, -)$ , and when  $n$  is even, the *alternating orthant*  $\mathcal{Q}$  has the signature  $(+, -, \dots, +, -)$ .

**DEFINITION.** A solution  $\mathbf{x}(t)$  of (1) is said to be *oscillatory* (as  $t \uparrow$ ) when its signature changes infinitely often on one, and, hence, on every interval  $[a, +\infty)$ ; it is said to be oscillatory as  $t \downarrow$  when its signature changes infinitely

often on some interval  $(-\infty, a]$ . A solution of (1) which is not oscillatory is called *nonoscillatory* (as  $t \uparrow$  or as  $t \downarrow$ , respectively). It is known [1] that any u. p. system (1), i.e., any system which satisfies (1)–(1'), has a projectively unique positive solution, which is clearly nonoscillatory. A closed orthant  $\mathcal{O}$  is called *stable* as  $t$  increases [decreases] when, for any solution  $\mathbf{x}(t)$  of (1),  $\mathbf{x}(a) \in \mathcal{O}$  implies  $\mathbf{x}(t) \in \mathcal{O}$  for all  $t > a$  [ $t < a$ ].

For odd  $n$  (e.g., for  $n = 3$ ), we show that the oscillatory solutions of (1)–(1') form an  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional subspace, and that any nonoscillatory solution is asymptotic to the projectively unique positive solution as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Moreover, as  $t$  increases, only the positive and negative orthants  $\pm \mathcal{P}$  are stable, and as  $t$  decreases *no* orthant is stable.

For even  $n$ , on the other hand, there exist *two* solutions of constant signature: the projectively unique positive solution  $\mathbf{f}(t)$  and the projectively unique solution  $\boldsymbol{\phi}(t)$  lying in  $\mathcal{Q}$ . The solutions which oscillate in both directions comprise an  $(n - 2)$ -dimensional subspace;  $\pm \mathcal{P}$  are the only stable orthants as  $t$  increases, and only  $\pm \mathcal{Q}$  are stable as  $t$  decreases. Any nonoscillatory solution other than  $\boldsymbol{\phi}$  and  $\mathbf{f}$  is asymptotic to  $\mathbf{f}$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , and to  $\boldsymbol{\phi}$  as  $t \rightarrow -\infty$ . More generally,  $\boldsymbol{\phi}$  plays the same role for  $s = -t$  as  $\mathbf{f}$  does for  $t$ .<sup>1</sup>

LEMMA 1. The zeros of the components  $x_i(t)$  of any nontrivial solution of the positive cyclic system (1) are isolated.

*Proof* ([2], p. 227). Suppose  $x_1$ , say, has a limit point  $t_0$  of zeros. Then from (1),  $\mathbf{x}(t_0) = \mathbf{0}$  by Rolle's theorem and continuity. Thus  $\mathbf{x}(t) \equiv \mathbf{0}$ .

LEMMA 2. If  $\mathbf{x}(t)$  is any solution of (1), and  $x_i(t)$  has  $r$  zeros on an interval  $(a, b)$ , then  $x_{i+k}(t)$  has at least  $r - k$  zeros and at most  $r + n - k$  zeros on  $(a, b)$ .

*Proof.* This result follows from a repeated application of Rolle's theorem to (1), proceeding from  $x_i$  to  $x_{i+1}$ . We omit the details.

An immediate consequence is the

COROLLARY. All components of every oscillatory solution of a positive cyclic system have infinitely many zeros.

## 2. THE ALTERNATING ORTHANT

In [1], we demonstrated the projective uniqueness and other properties of a positive solution of (1)–(1') for any  $n$ . In the case of even  $n$  a new phenom-

<sup>1</sup> Here and below, by a "u.p. system (1)," we mean a system which satisfies (1)–(1'). By "projectively unique," we mean unique up to a constant factor.

enon is made possible, namely the existence of a projectively unique solution  $\Phi(t)$  in the alternating orthant  $\mathcal{Q}$ .

EXAMPLE 1. If (1) has constant coefficients, the linear transformations  $t \mapsto (\Pi_1^n p_i)^{-1/n} t$  and  $x_k \mapsto (\Pi_1^{k-1} p_i)^{-1} x_k$  reduce (1) to the normal form

$$dx_i/dt = x_{i+1}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (2)$$

For  $n = 4$ , the projectively unique positive solution, the projectively unique solution in  $\mathcal{Q}$ , and two oscillatory solutions are, respectively:

$$\mathbf{f}^* = e^t \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Phi^* = e^{-t} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{u}_1^* = \begin{pmatrix} \sin t \\ \cos t \\ -\sin t \\ -\cos t \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{u}_2^* = \begin{pmatrix} \cos t \\ -\sin t \\ -\cos t \\ \sin t \end{pmatrix}.$$

The corresponding solutions of the adjoint are, similarly,

$$\mathbf{g}^* = e^{-t} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Psi^* = e^t \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{v}_1^* = \begin{pmatrix} \sin t \\ \cos t \\ -\sin t \\ -\cos t \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{v}_2^* = \begin{pmatrix} \cos t \\ -\sin t \\ -\cos t \\ \sin t \end{pmatrix}.$$

Example 1 is representative in most important respects of the general even-dimensional u. p. system (1), and serves to illustrate many of the results to be proved below. To derive these, we require Theorems 1, 1', and 4 of [1]. For convenience, we restate these known results as Theorems A, A', and B, respectively.

THEOREM A. Any u. p. system (1) has a projectively unique positive solution  $\mathbf{f}(t)$ , i.e., one with all components positive everywhere in  $(-\infty, +\infty)$ .

A similar property is valid for the *adjoint* of (1)-(1'):

$$dy_i/dt = -p_{i-1}(t) y_{i-1}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (3)$$

THEOREM A'. The adjoint (3) of any u. p. system (1) has a projectively unique positive solution  $\mathbf{g}(t)$ .

The following lemma permits us to obtain corresponding results for solutions in  $\mathcal{Q}$ . Let the constant matrix  $J = \text{diag}\{1, -1, \dots, 1, -1\}$ , and let  $P(t)$  represent the cyclic matrix of coefficients in (1). Thus, if

$$\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)^T,$$

then  $J\mathbf{w} = (w_1, -w_2, \dots, w_{n-1}, -w_n)^T$  when  $n$  is even.

LEMMA 3. If  $d\mathbf{w}/dt = P(-t)\mathbf{w}(t)$  and  $n$  is even, then  $J\mathbf{w}(-t)$  satisfies (1).

*Proof.*  $(d/dt) J\mathbf{w}(-t) = -J\mathbf{w}'(-t) = -JP(t)\mathbf{w}(-t) = P(t)J\mathbf{w}(-t)$ .

Observing that  $P(-t)$  is u. p. and cyclic whenever  $P(t)$  is, we obtain from Lemma 3 and Theorem A the following result.

THEOREM 1. If  $n$  is even, then the u. p. system (1) has a projectively unique solution  $\Phi(t)$  in  $\mathcal{Q}$ ; moreover,  $\Phi(t) = J\mathbf{F}(-t)$ , where  $\mathbf{F}(t)$  is the projectively unique positive solution of  $d\mathbf{w}/dt = P(-t)\mathbf{w}(t)$ .

Similarly, the next result is an immediate consequence of Theorem A' and Lemma 3.

THEOREM 1'. If  $n$  is even, the uniformly *negative* system (3) has a projectively unique solution  $\Psi(t)$  in  $\mathcal{Q}$ .

Since the inner product  $(\mathbf{x}(t), \mathbf{y}(t)) \equiv \text{const.}$  for every solution of (1) if, and only if,  $\mathbf{y}(t)$  satisfies (3), the set of all solutions of (1) orthogonal to any fixed  $\mathbf{y}(t)$  satisfying (3) forms a subspace  $\mathbf{y}^\perp(t)$ . Theorem 4 of [1] asserts

THEOREM B. Let  $\mathbf{x}(t)$  be a solution and  $\mathbf{f}(t)$  a positive solution of the u. p. system (1), and let  $\mathbf{g}(t)$  be a positive solution of (3). Then the following conditions are equivalent: (i)  $\mathbf{x}(t) = o[\mathbf{f}(t)]$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ; (ii)  $\mathbf{x}(t) \in \mathbf{g}^\perp(t)$ ; (iii)  $\mathbf{x}(t)$  is nowhere positive or negative; (iv)  $\mathbf{x}(t)$  is not ultimately positive or negative.

THEOREM 2. For even  $n$ , let  $\Phi(t)$  and  $\Psi(t)$  be the projectively unique solutions in  $\mathcal{Q}$  of the u. p. system (1) and (3), respectively. If  $\mathbf{x}(t)$  is a solution of (1), then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i)  $\mathbf{x}(t) = o(\Phi)$  as  $t \rightarrow -\infty$ ;
- (ii)  $\mathbf{x}(t) \in \Psi^\perp(t)$ ;
- (iii)  $\mathbf{x}(t) \in (\mathcal{Q} \cup -\mathcal{Q})'$  for all  $t$ ;
- (iv)  $\mathbf{x}(t) \in (\mathcal{Q} \cup -\mathcal{Q})'$  ultimately as  $t \rightarrow -\infty$ .

If  $\mathbf{y}(t)$  is a solution of (3), then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i)  $\mathbf{y}(t) = o(\Psi)$  as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ ;
- (ii)  $\mathbf{y}(t) \in \Phi^\perp(t)$ ;
- (iii)  $\mathbf{y}(t) \in (\mathcal{Q} \cup -\mathcal{Q})'$  for all  $t$ ;
- (iv)  $\mathbf{y}(t) \in (\mathcal{Q} \cup -\mathcal{Q})'$  ultimately.

*Proof.* From the correspondence between the behavior of solutions in  $\mathcal{Q}$  as  $t$  decreases and solutions in  $\mathcal{Q}$  as  $t$  increases, the first part is an immediate

corollary of Theorem B. Now the adjoint system (3) can be made cyclic and u. p. if we replace  $t$  by  $-t$  and permute the  $y_i$ 's. This permutation preserves  $\mathcal{Q} \cup -\mathcal{Q}$ , and since the adjoint of (3) is (1), we obtain the second part of the theorem as a dual of the first part.

As in [1], this can be shown to imply the

**COROLLARY.** Suppose  $n$  is even. If  $\mathbf{x}(t_0) \in \pm\mathcal{Q}$  for some  $t_0$ , where  $\mathbf{x}(t)$  satisfies the u. p. system (1), then, for a suitable constant  $c$ ,  $\mathbf{x}(t) \sim c\Phi(t)$  as  $t \rightarrow -\infty$ . If  $\mathbf{y}(t_1) \in \pm\mathcal{Q}$  for some  $t_1$ , where  $\mathbf{y}(t)$  satisfies the uniformly negative system (3), then for a suitable constant  $c_1$ ,  $\mathbf{y}(t) \sim c_1\Psi(t)$  as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ .

The following further description of the asymptotic behavior is immediately obtained from Lemma 3 as a corollary of the corresponding results in [1]. For even  $n$ , if  $\mathbf{x}(t)$  is a solution of the u. p. system (1) which is never in  $\mathcal{Q} \cup -\mathcal{Q}$ , and  $\mathbf{y}(t)$  is any solution such that  $\mathbf{y}(t_1) \in \mathcal{Q}$ , then  $\mathbf{x}(t) = o[\mathbf{y}(t)]$  as  $t \rightarrow -\infty$ .

Similarly, if  $\mathbf{x}(t)$  is any solution of a u. p. cyclic system (1) with  $n$  even and  $\mathbf{x}(t_0) \in \mathcal{Q}$  for some  $t_0$ , then for some constants  $c$  and  $C$ , and  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,

$$0 < ce^{-mt} \leq |x_i(t)| \leq Ce^{-Mt} \quad \text{for all } t \leq t_0.$$

This implies that the orthant  $\mathcal{Q}$  is stable for decreasing  $t$ .

### 3. UNIFORM INSTABILITY

As in Section 1, a subset  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $\mathbf{x}$ -space is called stable (as  $t \uparrow$ ) under the action of (1) when, for any solution  $\mathbf{x}(t)$  of the system,  $\mathbf{x}(a) \in \mathcal{S}$  implies  $\mathbf{x}(t) \in \mathcal{S}$  for all  $t > a$ . From the positiveness of the  $p_i(t)$ , it is clear that the positive orthant  $\mathcal{P}$  and its negative  $-\mathcal{P}$  are both stable under the action of (1). Also,  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $-\mathcal{P}$  are stable as  $t \downarrow$  under the action of the adjoint (3) of any uniformly positive cyclic system (1).

For even  $n$ , positive cyclic systems (1) have another stable orthant (because the associated matrix is 2-cyclic). From obvious considerations, we find that for even  $n$ , the orthants  $\mathcal{Q}$  and  $-\mathcal{Q}$  are stable as  $t \downarrow$  under the action of any positive cyclic system (1); they are also stable as  $t \uparrow$  under the action of the adjoint of any positive cyclic system (1).

We now show that any orthant  $\mathcal{O}$  other than  $\pm\mathcal{P}$  and  $\pm\mathcal{Q}$  is *unstable* for both increasing and decreasing  $t$ : no solution remains in  $\mathcal{O}$  as  $t \uparrow$  or  $t \downarrow$ .

**LEMMA 4.** Under the action of any u. p. system (1), all orthants other than  $\pm\mathcal{P}$  and  $\pm\mathcal{Q}$  are unstable as  $t$  increases and as  $t$  decreases.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be any orthant other than  $\pm\mathcal{P}$  and  $\pm\mathcal{Q}$ . If  $n < 3$ , the lemma is vacuously true. Then by cyclic symmetry and the linearity of (1), we may

suppose without loss of generality that  $\mathcal{O}$  has the signature  $(-, +, +, \pm, \dots)$ . Let  $\mathbf{x}(t)$  be any solution of (1) lying in  $\mathcal{O}$  at time  $t = a$ , and assume that  $\mathbf{x}(t) \in \mathcal{O}$  for all  $t > a$ . Then from (1),  $0 > x_1(t) \uparrow \text{const.}$  for  $t > a$ , whence  $\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} x_1'(t) = 0$ . Thus,  $\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} x_2(t) = 0$ . But  $0 < x_2 \uparrow$ , a contradiction. Thus,  $\mathcal{O}$  is unstable as  $t \uparrow$ . As  $t \downarrow$  on the other hand, we may assume, again without loss in generality, that  $\mathcal{O}$  has the signature  $(-, -, +, \pm, \dots)$ . Replacing  $t$  by  $-s$  in (1) and arguing as before, we conclude that  $\mathcal{O}$  is unstable both for increasing and decreasing  $t$ .

From the projective uniqueness of the solutions in  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$ , we obtain the

**COROLLARY.** Under the action of (1)–(1'),  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $-\mathcal{P}$  are the only orthants stable as  $t \uparrow$ , and  $\mathcal{Q}$  and  $-\mathcal{Q}$  are the only orthants stable as  $t \downarrow$ .

Therefore, the constant multiples of  $\mathbf{f}(t)$  and  $\Phi(t)$  are the only solutions of constant signature for all  $t$ .

We now strengthen Lemma 4 by proving that  $\mathcal{O}$  is *uniformly unstable*, in the sense of

**THEOREM 3.** For any orthant  $\mathcal{O}$  not  $\pm\mathcal{P}$  or  $\pm\mathcal{Q}$ , there exists a  $\tau = \tau(\mathcal{O})$  such that no solution of (1)–(1') remains in  $\bar{\mathcal{O}}$  for an interval of length  $\tau$ .

*Proof.* We first observe that the residence time [of a solution of (1)–(1')] on the boundary of any orthant is zero. More precisely, if

$$x_j(a) = x_{j+1}(a) = \dots = x_k(a) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad x_{k+1}(a) \neq 0,$$

then for  $i = j, \dots, k$ , all  $x_i(a^+)$  have the sign of  $x_{k+1}(a)$  and all  $x_i(a^-)$  have the opposite sign. Now from Lemma 4, for any particular initial  $\mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{c} \in \bar{\mathcal{O}}$ , there exists a  $\tau = \tau(\mathbf{c}) > 0$  such that  $\mathbf{x}(\tau)$  is in the interior of some orthant other than  $\mathcal{O}$ . By the continuous dependence of  $\mathbf{x}(\tau)$  on  $\mathbf{x}(0)$ , it follows that this must be true for *all*  $\mathbf{x}(0)$  in some (projective) neighborhood of  $\mathbf{c}$ . Finally, by the Heine-Borel theorem, it is possible to cover  $\bar{\mathcal{O}}$  by a finite set of such neighborhoods. Then  $\tau$ , the maximum of the associated residence times, has the required property.

#### 4. OSCILLATION THEOREMS FOR $n$ ODD

In this section, we shall generalize a number of the results of [1] for third-order uniformly positive cyclic systems (1)–(1') to general odd-order u. p. systems (1). We first prove

**THEOREM 4.** For  $n$  odd, a nontrivial solution  $\mathbf{x}(t)$  of the u. p. system (1) is oscillatory if, and only if,  $\mathbf{x}(t) \in \mathbf{g}^\perp(t)$ .

*Proof.* If  $\mathbf{x}(t)$  is an oscillatory solution, then  $\mathbf{x}(t) \in \mathbf{g}^\perp(t)$ , from Theorem B. Conversely, suppose the nontrivial solution  $\mathbf{x}(t)$  lies in  $\mathbf{g}^\perp(t)$ . Then  $\mathbf{x}(t)$  can never be positive or negative and must therefore always lie in unstable orthants, from Lemma 4.

An immediate conclusion is

**COROLLARY 1.** For  $n$  odd, the oscillatory solutions form with the trivial solution  $\mathbf{0}$  an  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional subspace.

**COROLLARY 2.** For  $n$  odd, if  $\mathbf{u}(t)$  is an oscillatory solution of the u. p. system (1) as  $t \uparrow$ , then  $\mathbf{u}(t)$  is oscillatory as  $t \downarrow$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\mathbf{u}(t) \in \mathbf{g}^\perp(t)$ , from Lemma 4  $\mathbf{u}(t)$ , for any  $t$ , lies in an orthant which is unstable as  $t \downarrow$ .

From Theorem 4, there are  $n - 1$  linearly independent oscillatory solutions in  $\mathbf{g}^\perp$ . This gives us

**THEOREM 5.** If  $n$  is odd, any  $n - 1$  linearly independent oscillatory solutions of the u. p. system (1)-(1') constitute, together with  $\mathbf{f}(t)$ , a basis of solutions.

**THEOREM 6.** Let  $\mathbf{f}(t)$  be a positive solution and let  $\mathbf{u}(t)$  and  $\mathbf{v}(t)$  be any nontrivial solutions of (1)-(1') with  $n$  odd. Then  $\mathbf{u}(t)$  oscillates if and only if  $\mathbf{u} = o(\mathbf{f})$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , and  $\mathbf{v}(t)$  oscillates for  $t \downarrow$  if, and only if,  $\mathbf{f} = o(\mathbf{v})$  as  $t \rightarrow -\infty$ .

*Proof.* From Theorem 4,  $\mathbf{u}$  oscillates if, and only if,  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{g}^\perp$ . But from Theorem B, this is equivalent to  $\mathbf{u} = o(\mathbf{f})$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Now suppose  $\mathbf{v}$  oscillates as  $t \downarrow$ . Let  $\mathbf{g}$  be a positive solution of the adjoint (3), and let  $\mathbf{w}$  be a solution of (3) which oscillates as  $t \downarrow$  and such that  $(\mathbf{v}(0), \mathbf{w}(0)) \neq 0$ . Then

$$(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}) = |\mathbf{f}| |\mathbf{g}| \cos \alpha(t) = \text{const.}$$

$$(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = |\mathbf{v}| |\mathbf{w}| \cos \beta(t) \equiv \text{const.},$$

whence

$$|\mathbf{f}| / |\mathbf{v}| = \text{const.} \cdot |\mathbf{w}| \cos \beta / |\mathbf{g}| \cos \alpha.$$

But  $\mathbf{w} = o(\mathbf{g})$  as  $t \rightarrow -\infty$ , from the dual to Theorem B. Furthermore, since  $\mathbf{f}$  and  $\mathbf{g}$  are bounded away from the coordinate planes (the proof in [1] for  $n = 3$  being valid also for arbitrary  $n$ ),  $\cos \alpha \geq \epsilon > 0$  for all  $t$ . We conclude that  $\mathbf{f} = o(\mathbf{v})$  as  $t \rightarrow -\infty$ .

Conversely, suppose  $\mathbf{v}$  is a solution of (1)-(1') such that  $\mathbf{f} = o(\mathbf{v})$  as  $t \rightarrow -\infty$ . Then from Theorem 5 and Corollary 1 of Theorem 4,  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{h} + c\mathbf{f}$  where  $\mathbf{h}$  is an oscillatory solution as  $t \uparrow$  and hence, from Corollary 2 of

Theorem 4, as  $t \downarrow$ . But  $\mathbf{f} = o(\mathbf{h})$  as  $t \rightarrow -\infty$ , as shown above, whence  $\mathbf{v}$  oscillates as  $t \downarrow$ .

An immediate consequence of Theorem 6 together with Theorem 5 is the

**COROLLARY.** Let  $\mathbf{x}(t)$  be any solution of (1)–(1') with  $n$  odd, and let  $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{n-1}$  be linearly independent oscillatory solutions. Then either  $\mathbf{x}(t)$  is always positive or negative, or  $\mathbf{x}(t) \sim \sum c_i \mathbf{u}_i(t)$  as  $t \rightarrow -\infty$ , for suitable  $c_i$ , whence  $\mathbf{x}(t)$  oscillates for decreasing  $t$ .

Another immediate consequence is

**THEOREM 7.** Suppose  $n$  is odd. If  $\mathbf{x}(t)$  is any nonoscillatory solution of (1)–(1'), then  $\mathbf{x}(t) \sim c_1 \mathbf{f}(t)$  as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ , for a suitable constant  $c_1$ . If  $\mathbf{x}(t)$  is a solution which does not oscillate as  $t \rightarrow -\infty$ , then  $\mathbf{x}(t) = c_2 \mathbf{f}(t)$  for a suitable  $c_2$ .

## 5. OSCILLATION THEOREMS FOR $n$ EVEN

The facts are a little more complicated for even  $n$  because of the existence of the alternating orthant  $\mathcal{Q}$ . We shall show that not only is there a most rapidly growing solution  $\mathbf{f}(t)$ , but a most rapidly shrinking solution  $\Phi(t)$  which is the projectively unique solution in  $\mathcal{Q}$ . Moreover, we shall obtain oscillation criteria, of which the first is

**THEOREM 8.** Consider the system (1)–(1') for even  $n$ . A solution  $\mathbf{x}(t)$  is oscillatory both as  $t \uparrow$  and  $t \downarrow$  if, and only if,  $\mathbf{x}(t) \in \mathbf{g}^\perp(t) \cap \Psi^\perp(t)$ . On the other hand, if a solution  $\mathbf{x}(t)$  oscillates as  $t \uparrow$  then  $\mathbf{x}(t) \in \mathbf{g}(t)$ ; if it oscillates as  $t \downarrow$ , then  $\mathbf{x}(t) \in \Psi^\perp(t)$ .

*Proof.* The solution  $\mathbf{x}(t)$  oscillates as  $t \uparrow$  and  $t \downarrow$  if, and only if,  $\mathbf{x}(t)$  always lies in orthants which are unstable in both directions, i.e., if and only if  $\mathbf{x}(t) \in (\mathcal{P} \cup -\mathcal{P})' \cap (\mathcal{Q} \cup -\mathcal{Q})'$ . But this is equivalent to  $\mathbf{x}(t) \in \mathbf{g}^\perp(t) \cap \Psi^\perp(t)$  from Theorems B and 2. A similar application of these theorems proves the remainder of the theorem.

Since there are  $n - 2$  linearly independent oscillatory solutions in  $\mathbf{g}^\perp \cap \Psi^\perp$  we immediately obtain the following two results.

**COROLLARY.** For  $n$  even, solutions of (1)–(1') which are oscillatory in both directions form an  $(n - 2)$ -dimensional subspace.

**THEOREM 9.** If  $n$  is even, any  $n - 2$  linearly independent solutions of (1)–(1') which oscillate as  $t \uparrow$  and  $t \downarrow$  constitute, together with  $\mathbf{f}(t)$  and  $\Phi(t)$ , a basis of solutions.



THEOREM 10. Let  $\mathbf{f}(t)$  be a positive solution of (1)–(1') with  $n$  even, and  $\phi(t)$  a solution in  $\mathcal{Q}$ . If  $\mathbf{u}(t)$  is an oscillatory solution as  $t \uparrow$ , then  $\mathbf{u} = o(\mathbf{f})$  as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$  and  $\mathbf{f} = o(\mathbf{u})$  as  $t \rightarrow -\infty$ . If  $\mathbf{v}(t)$  is a solution which oscillates as  $t \downarrow$ , then  $\phi = o(\mathbf{v})$  as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$  and  $\mathbf{v} = o(\phi)$  as  $t \rightarrow -\infty$ .

The proof is similar to that of Theorem 6.

COROLLARY. For  $n$  even, the projectively unique solution  $\phi(t)$  in  $\mathcal{Q}$  is the most rapidly shrinking solution of (1)–(1'); i.e., if  $\mathbf{x}(t)$  is any solution other than  $k\phi$  for any  $k$ , then  $\phi = o(\mathbf{x})$  as  $t \uparrow$ . Similarly, the projectively unique positive solution  $\mathbf{f}(t)$  is the most rapidly growing solution as  $t \uparrow$ , and the most rapidly shrinking one as  $t \downarrow$ .

*Proof.* From Theorem 9, for suitable constant coefficients

$$\mathbf{x} = a_1\mathbf{u}_1 + \cdots + a_{n-2}\mathbf{u}_{n-2} + a_{n-1}\mathbf{f} + k\phi$$

for linearly independent solutions  $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{n-2}$  which oscillate in both directions. But as  $t \uparrow$ ,  $\phi = o(\mathbf{u}_i)$  and  $\mathbf{u}_i = o(\mathbf{f})$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-2$ . Therefore,  $\phi = o(\mathbf{x})$  unless  $a_1 = \cdots = a_{n-1} = 0$ . The rest of the proof is similar.

As a consequence of the preceding two theorems, we obtain

THEOREM 11. Consider the system (1)–(1') with  $n$  even. If  $\mathbf{x}(t)$  is any nonoscillatory solution, then either  $\mathbf{x}(t) = c_0\phi(t)$  or  $\mathbf{x}(t) \sim c_1\mathbf{f}(t)$  as  $t \uparrow$ , for a suitable constant  $c_0$  or  $c_1$ . If  $\mathbf{x}(t)$  is any solution which does not oscillate as  $t \downarrow$ , then either  $\mathbf{x}(t) = c_3\mathbf{f}(t)$  or  $\mathbf{x}(t) \sim c_4\phi(t)$  as  $t \downarrow$ , for suitable  $c_3$  or  $c_4$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $\mathbf{x}(t) \neq c_0\phi(t)$  is any nonoscillatory solution of (1)–(1') with  $n$  even. Then

$$\mathbf{x} = a_1\mathbf{u}_1 + \cdots + a_{n-2}\mathbf{u}_{n-2} + c_0\phi + c_1\mathbf{f},$$

where the  $\mathbf{u}_i$ 's are linearly independent solutions which are oscillatory in both directions, and the coefficient  $c_1$  cannot be zero. For if  $c_1 = 0$ , then from the Corollary to Theorem 10,  $\phi = o(a_i\mathbf{u}_i)$  for at least one  $i$  with  $a_i \neq 0$ , whence  $\mathbf{x} \sim \sum a_i\mathbf{u}_i$  as  $t \uparrow$  and would then oscillate. Consequently,  $\mathbf{x} \sim c_1\mathbf{f}$  since  $\phi = o(\mathbf{f})$  and  $\mathbf{u}_i = o(\mathbf{f})$  as  $t \uparrow$ . The rest of the proof is similar.

## 6. FOURTH ORDER SYSTEMS

In [1] we saw that if  $\mathbf{x}(t)$  is an oscillatory solution of (1)–(1') for  $n = 3$ , then the signs of its components permute cyclically as  $t$  increases, each sign change affecting the second of the two components having like sign. Thus,

the signature of  $\mathbf{x}(t)$  changes cyclically in six phases. We shall show that for  $n = 4$ , the signature of an oscillatory solution  $\mathbf{x}(t)$  of (1)-(1') changes cyclically in *eight* phases.

Since the positive orthant  $\mathcal{P}$  is stable for  $t \uparrow$ , the signs of the components of any oscillatory solution  $\mathbf{x}(t)$  of (1)-(1') for  $n = 4$  cannot all be the same. Since  $\mathcal{Q}$  is stable for  $t \downarrow$ , once  $\mathbf{x}(t)$  leaves  $\mathcal{Q}$  it cannot reenter, so ultimately the signs of its components cannot alternate. Then by cyclic symmetry and multiplication by  $-1$ , we may assume without loss in generality that at an arbitrary but fixed large  $t_0$ , the signature of  $\mathbf{x}(t_0)$  is either  $(+, +, -, +)$  or  $(+, +, -, -)$ . In the first case, from elementary considerations as in ([1], Theorem 7),  $x_2(t)$  is the first component to vanish for  $t > t_0$ . In the second case, either  $x_2$  or  $x_4$  may vanish first, resulting in a signature which is identical to the first case to within cyclic symmetry and multiplication by  $-1$ . This results in

**THEOREM 12.** If  $\mathbf{x}(t)$  is any oscillatory solution of (1)-(1') with  $n = 4$ , as  $t \uparrow$  each change in sign occurs at the last component of a sequence of components of like sign. The resulting flow diagram of signatures then occurs as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (+, +, -, -) &\rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (+, -, -, -) \\ (+, +, -, +) \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow (+, -, -, +) \rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (-, -, -, +) \\ (+, -, +, +) \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow \\ (-, -, +, +) &\rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (-, +, +, +) \\ (-, -, +, -) \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow (-, +, +, -) \rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (+, +, +, -) \\ (-, +, -, -) \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow \end{aligned}$$

etc., where either signature in any bracketed term may occur.

#### REFERENCES

1. G. BIRKHOFF AND L. KOTIN, Third order positive cyclic systems of linear differential equations, *J. Diff. Eqs.* **5** (1969), 182-196.
2. W. LEIGHTON, "Ordinary Differential Equations," Wadsworth, Belmont, Calif., 1963.